



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/524,350 | 09/30/2005 | Jao Wu | 115427.00002 | 6322 |
| 72535 7590 02/28/2008 MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP STAMFORD OFFICE FINANCIAL CENTRE, SUITE 304A 695 EAST MAIN STREET STAMFORD, CT 06901-2138 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| NGUYEN, DINH Q | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3752 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 02/28/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/524,350

Applicant(s)

WU, JAO

Examiner

Dinh Q. Nguyen

Art Unit

3752

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dinh Q. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

(2) Aaron Bumgarner.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,415,993 to Forbert.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed claim language to clearly define limitation "the primary lower layer and said secondary upper layer interact and support each other in a non-destructive manner from peripheral side wall to said outlet", which is disclosed on top of page 7 lines 1 and 2 of the specification, wherein the mixing of the two layers occurred only at the boundaries of the two layers, the rest of the layers are stayed intact.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dinh Q. Nguyen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.